


<b>Form PTO-1449 Substitute</b> <b>U.S. Department of Commerce</b> <b>Patent and Trademark Office</b>  <b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b> <b>(Use several sheets if necessary)</b>	Application Number	10/037,341
	Filing Date	January 4, 2002
	First Named Inventor	David Baltimore
	Art Unit	1636
	Examiner Name	C. Hibbert
Attorney Docket No.		75723- ZA/JPW/GJG/CS



### NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
	1	Principal Brief For Defendant-Appellant Eli Lilly And Company On Rehearing En Banc, filed November 9, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	2	Brief For Abbott Laboratories As Amicus Curiae In Support Of Defendant-Appellant, filed November 19, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	3	Brief Of Amicus Curiae The American Intellectual Property Law Association In Support Of Appellant On Rehearing En Banc, filed November 19, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	4	Brief Of Amicus Curiae Amgen Inc. In Support Of Affirmance Of Judgment, filed November 19, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	5	Brief Of Amicus Curiae Professor Christopher A. Cotropia In Support Of Eli Lilly's Answers To The En Banc Questions, filed November 18, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	

EXAMINER  
SIGNATURE

DATE CONSIDERED

\*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609: Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. <sup>1</sup>Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). <sup>2</sup>Applicant is to place a checkmark here if English language Translation is attached.

Applicants: David Baltimore, et al.  
 Serial No.: 10/037,341  
 Filed: January 4, 2002  
**Exhibit A**

<b>Form PTO-1449 Substitute</b> <b>U.S. Department of Commerce</b> <b>Patent and Trademark Office</b>  <b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b> <b>(Use several sheets if necessary)</b>	<b>Application Number</b>	10/037,341
	<b>Filing Date</b>	January 4, 2002
	<b>First Named Inventor</b>	David Baltimore
	<b>Art Unit</b>	1636
	<b>Examiner Name</b>	C. Hibbert
	<b>Attorney Docket No.</b>	75723- ZA/JPW/GJG/CS

### NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
	6	Brief Of Amicus Curiae Federal Circuit Bar Association In Support Of Appellant, filed November 19, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	7	Brief Of Amicus Curiae GlaxoSmithKline In Support Of Eli Lilly & Company, filed November 19, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	8	Brief Of Hynix Semiconductor Inc. And Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. As Amici Curiae In Support Of Defendant-Appellant-Respondent And Reversal, filed November 19, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	9	Brief Of Amicus Curiae Intellectual Property Owners Association On En Banc Rehearing In Support Of Eli Lilly And Company, filed November 11, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	10	Brief Of Amicus Curiae Oskar Liivak In Support Of Defendant-Appellant, filed November 18, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
	11	Brief Of Amicus Curiae Medtronic Inc. In Support Of Eli Lilly & Company, filed November 16, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	

**EXAMINER  
SIGNATURE**
**DATE CONSIDERED**

\*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609: Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. <sup>1</sup>Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). <sup>2</sup>Applicant is to place a checkmark here if English language Translation is attached.

<b>Form PTO-1449 Substitute</b>		<b>U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office</b>		<b>Application Number</b>	10/037,341
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b> (Use several sheets if necessary)				<b>Filing Date</b>	January 4, 2002
				<b>First Named Inventor</b>	David Baltimore
				<b>Art Unit</b>	1636
				<b>Examiner Name</b>	C. Hibbert
				<b>Attorney Docket No.</b>	75723- ZA/JPW/GJG/CS
<b>NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS</b>					
<b>Examiner Initials</b>	<b>Cite No.<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.</b>			<b>T<sup>2</sup></b>
	12	Brief Of Amicus Curiae Microsoft Corporation In Support Of Defendant-Appellant Eli Lilly & Company On Rehearing En Banc, filed November 19, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;			
	13	Brief Of Amicus Curiae Monsanto Company In Support Of Eli Lilly & Company And Affirmance, filed November 19, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;			
	14	Brief Of Amicus Curiae Public Patent Foundation In Support Of Defendant-Appellant Eli Lilly & Co., filed November 19, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;			
	15	Brief Of Amicus Curiae Realnetworks, Inc. In Support Of Eli Lilly & Company, filed November 18, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;			
	16	Brief For The United States As Amicus Curiae On Rehearing En Banc In Support Of Respondent, filed November 19, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248; and			
	17	Brief Of Washington Legal Foundation As Amicus Curiae In Support Of Defendant-Appellant Supporting Reversal, filed November 19, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248.			
<b>EXAMINER SIGNATURE</b>		<b>DATE CONSIDERED</b>			
<p><b>*EXAMINER:</b> Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609: Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. <sup>1</sup>Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). <sup>2</sup>Applicant is to place a checkmark here if English language Translation is attached.</p>					